



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,577	09/23/2003	Wu Chou	633-006us	3052
47912	7550	01/07/2010	EXAMINER	
Avaya			NGUYEN, PHUOC H	
DEMONT & BREYER, LLC			ART UNIT	
100 COMMONS WAY, STE 250			PAPER NUMBER	
HOLMDEL, NJ 07733			2443	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@dblaw.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/668,577		CHOU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	PHUOC NGUYEN		2443	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) PHUOC NGUYEN.

(2) Kiril Dimov (Reg. 60,490).

**Date of Interview:** 22 December 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*1, 6, 21, and 22*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:00AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Under the principle of compact prosecution, a telephone interview with the applicant's representative, Kiril Dimov (Reg. No. 60,490) was initiated by the examiner on December 22, 2009. Applicant is urged to rewrite the claim limitations based on his/her expertise so long as the claim 6 subject matter is included in all independent claims and further amended claim 22 due to incomplete or missing a last limitation. The proposed amendment will accelerate prosecution by placing the application in condition for allowance. The period for reply is set to expire 30 days from the emailing date of this communication.